

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,161	04/20/2001	Fumiaki Aga	401171	9027

23548 7590 01/28/2003 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960 EXAMINER

CRUZ, LOURDES C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2827

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	The state of the s	(In			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/838,161	AGA, FUMIAKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAH INO DATE of this course in the	Lourdes C. Cruz	2827			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 C</u>	<u> October 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊡ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applica	ation No			
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a)  The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been r	eceived.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Information (1) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/838,161

Art Unit: 2827

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2,3,4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asada (JP 10204155 A).

Regarding the claims, Asada discloses:

An epoxy resin (See abstract) sealing a chip including a filler containing 15 wt.% with respect to total filler and having particles of no more than 10µm in size; and a laser mark (YAG laser) on the package.

Regarding the limitations reciting the difference in color and its value, see that the device disclosed by the prior art meets the structural characteristics recited in the claims. It is inherent from this that it will display the same "colorimeter value" claimed.

Moreover, see that any surface could have a value of "at least 10" since that value depends on the colorimeter and, on the calibration of the colorimeter. See that a colorimeter could always be calibrated to produce a value of "at least 10"

Regarding claim 4, see that the prior art discloses the use of carbon black.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-03-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues:

Application/Control Number: 09/838,161

Art Unit: 2827

 The ranges of with regard to the filling do not overlap since the claims state that 85 to 90 % of the filler particles have an average size of "larger than 10 microns"

 The color difference claimed has a definite meaning to one skilled in the art, the colorimeter used is described in the disclosure

The above are not persuasive since:

- Although claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The prior art specifically discloses particle diameter of 5 microns which is "at least 10" at a 15 % wt or above range, which is within the claimed "10 to 15 wt%"
- With respect to arguments about the colorimeter used and its description in the disclosure, see *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993)
- Calibration of the colorimeter is not described or claimed, see rejection above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/838,161

Art Unit: 2827

Page 4

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-

5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner

Art Unit 2827

Lourdes Cruz January 26, 2003

LIAMD L TALBOTT

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800